1877
(K.R.)

File No. 6 of 1877
Serial No. 1-20

Sub:- Resumption of land belonging to Tirumala Temple in Hubli village Kundgol Taluk Addl. Reg. Laxmeshwar

Land Rev File No. 6 of 1877.

Sheet 1-8

Resumption of lands belonging to Tirumala Swami Temple in Havali village Kawaldrug Taluq held by one Raghavendra char

Indexed

No 337.

Memo

Docket No 62 d/27th Sept/77 from the Superintendent of Inam Settlements.

One Raghavendra Char of the Hurali village in the Kavaledurga taluk of the Shimoga District represented in his petition noted in the margin, that he held a Puttah for the lands granted to the temples of Narasimhadevaru and Timmaladevaru, situated in the said village; that the temples enjoyed a Jari inam of Rs 16-1-10 (of which Rs 7-1-10 belongs to Narasimhadevaru and Rs 9 to Timmaladevaru,

Reg[d] & Fo ... 15 3/3 d, 4th d/17

His case with the Inam Com[r]'s Letter thereon [illegible] Rs 9

that in 1876, the Auditor inspected the last named temple which was dismantled for the purpose of being rebuilt, and on enquiring, was informed that the building had been purposely pulled down to be built anew; that, notwithstanding the above report, the Auditor attached the main lands belonging to the temple, and recommended to the Lieutenant-Governor that they should be returned, which was accordingly done; and prayed that as he had ~~been~~ all along been conducting

the worship and has besides rebuilt the temple, the inam lands be restored.

2 The petition was referred to the Inam Superintendent for report.

D.C.C. Minute No 16 — 5/ 15 May 1/11

3 In reply, Mayorkole submitted copy of the Kanakeraya Amildar's Diary No 341 of 29 July 1876 together with an Extract from the Register of the Hurali village (case No 2) and observed that the inam of Hurali Tirumala Devaru was resumed on the ground that the temple was in disrepair and that the walls & ca had come down.

Docket No 538/5 — 5/9/1/11

4 The Inam Superintendent was thereupon requested to submit the list and other enclosures referred to in the Amildari's Stellak above noticed. These are now submitted.

Encl to No 2747 of 2-8-1

5 From the correspondence now received, it appears that on receipt of the Inam Title Deeds to be distributed in the Kanakapura Taluk, the Amildar reported that to the Inam Supdt that some Devadaya inams had been attached by him as the temples for the maintenance of which they had been granted

2 Encl to Letter

Stellak No 110 of 1867-68

had become dilapidated and had no worship rendered in them, and enquired whether the titledeeds should be granted to such institutions. The Auldar was thereupon directed to submit a statement giving in detail the reasons for resuming the lands referred to by him and to return the Titledeeds.

Hoshoor 8/25 Augt 1875

6. In the statement which was accordingly received from the Auldar the lands of 12 temples were recommended to be

remand on the ground
that the buildings were
not in proper order.
Orders were passed in each case
the Imam Superintendent
~~as~~ on an English translation
of this Statement, a Copy
of which was forwarded
to the D.C. Commissioner
with the view of punishing
the Shamblogs &c and
another Copy sent to
Mr Syed Nasiruddin,
who had conducted the
registration of Imams
in the Taluk, for report.
It does not appear
what reports were
submitted by the D.C. Comm.r
and Mr Syed Nasiruddeen.

9 As directed above, the Swan Superintendent was requested to submit the reports of the Dr Commrs of the Shimoga District and the Asst Superintendent Mr Syed Nasseeruddin, called for by him.

Docket № 662³/₁₄₁ of 11 Oct 77

10 Major Cole stated in reply that the reports therein alluded to were not called for, as the Amil reported that the samples had fallen into a state of discrepancy subsequent to the Swan Settlement &c. Major Cole also suggested that the Dr Commissioners and

Letter № 668/25 Oct 77

Auckland should be
enjoined to report
annually on the condition
of such institutions
in the settled Districts.

11. The Vernacular
papers connected with
the case, which had been
returned with this office
docket No. 6623/141 of 11th Oct/77,
were again called for
and received with the
Imam Superintendents'
docket No. 71 of 11th Nov/77

12. With reference to
the Imam Superintendents'
remark that no
reports were called for

Draft Note

7. Sahara The remark in the last Column of the Statement, opposite to the Immala devasam temple now in question, was to the following effect:—

The temple is in dis-repair and the walls have come down. The Amildar personally inspected it on 17 Dec^r last, when out on Jamabandi. Recommended to be resumed — D/ 29 July 1876.

The inam was accordingly resumed and noted in the Inam Register, cancelling the confirmation made on 10^th Jany 1873.

8. The Commissioner may be asked to institute an Enquiry

into the circumstances which led to the Auditor's recommendation to resume the mineland, and to report whether the Petitioners allegation that he had, at the time of the Auditor's inspection, pulled down the walls of the temple with the view of rebuilding them, is true.

A. Thomson C.J.
4/10/17

The Petitioner's statement that he had pulled the Temple down in order to rebuild it is incredible. His name being on the Landlord's register but the Temple has fallen into neglect, and I do not consider prosecuting the ruling Judicial or Executive the settlement made by the Crown Dept of Lessening the Land.
It would be well to know what explanation the Crown Lessee has given for recommending the grant of the title deed, and the Crown Surveyor's report & minute thereon & grounds for opposition for from the Assistant as well as from the Dept concerned.

5/10 JG

Barbados

letter from the Dy Commr
or from the Assistant Supt
I beg to invite attention
to a remark in English
(initialed C.V. and 9/26-2-77)
at the bottom of an
English Memo on Auditor
Genl's No 1102/406 of 7th Augt 1875
which runs as follows:—
"Copy of the English
"Statement sent to the
"Dy Commr ~~for~~ to punishment
"Shambhoy &c. The office
"copy sent to Mr S.N.
"for report." Our
docket calling for the
reports ~~from~~ of the 2
officers in question
was based on the

above remark. I find,
however, that since the
receipt of this office
docket of 11th October last,
another remark signed
by the same official
and dated 20-10-77
is entered, as follows:—
"The report not called for
"from the ~~Deputy Commissioner~~ Assistant
"And the Statement
"not sent to the Dy Commr".
"Vide orders on the English
"Statement Dy 22nd February/77."
The English Statement
on which Major Cole's
order dated 22nd Feby/77
is stated to have been

passed, has not, however, been submitted, but the remark dated 26th July/77 was apparently made 4 days after Major Cole had passed his orders.

13. In regard to Major Cole's recommendation that the Dy Commissioners and Auditors should report annually on the condition of these institutions, I beg to state that once the Loan Settlement of an institution is completed, the Loan Dept should have nothing more to do with it, the subsequent upkeep

This was done suspicion on the part. Will be left ascertain from the Dep. Com. whether the remarks in question were made in 1875, and whether the temple was then stated by the Committee to have fallen into ruins has since been rebuilt

Lord Cameron's Robbery

Comm. Chief Inspector's letter dated 27/11/77 - No 81

Sir My proceedings with a view to Ship Effect No 2 case from the Court of the Loan Dept to Lord Cameron

of the institutions
dealing with the Mugarmp
officers who submit
reports when any of
these institutions fall
into disrepair. In the
present case, however,
the main settlement
took place in 1871,
the order of confirmation
was passed on 10-1-73
and the main was
returned on 14-3-77,
i.e., more than six
years after the main
investigation.

14 In regard to the
petitioner's claim, the

Secretary has already
expressed his opinion
that it cannot be
allowed. The only
question is whether,
at the time of the Survey
Enquiry, the Temple
was in good order.
In Column 8 of the
Register, the following
remark is entered:-
"The pagoda is said to be
"in order and service
"continued". The
words underlined
would make it
appear that the
Assistant had not
personally satisfied
himself on the point

And that he based
his recommendation
for the confirmation
of the Nizam on the
report of others.

E. C. Thornton Col
17/11/77

See order on page 12
above 19/3

No 576

15 Enquiry was made in Canarese Kulkarni No. 81 of 20th November last, in accordance with the Secretary's order at the margin of para 12 above.

Canarese Kulkarni
(No 1000 of 9 January 1878

vide para 7 above

16. The Commissioner reports that worship in the temple was never stopped either before or after the Inam Settlement. The late Amildar, when recommending the resumption of the lands held by the temple, said that the temple was in disorder and not that worship had ever been stopped. The temple which had been found in disrepair by the late Amildar

in December 1875 was, it is reported, put in proper order very soon after, and is still in good order. The temple is a small building thatched with straw and situated close to the Ghauts, and has to be newly thatched twice a year.

17 Submitted for orders with reference to Major Cleuttes' note on paras 8, 12 & 13.

R. P. Thumboo
26/1/78

The recommendation was made in the Report which the Temple was in ruins, but was in course of repair by the Dewan as the Final Judicial Registers of the Court were now being made for each Jail & such cases have to be omitted, as the Printed Registers will form the permanent record of the Settlement.

20/1/78

A recent order has
issued in the subject
of the Inam dept. not
existing but matters
in a Jah. case settled
Under these circ. —
vide Minutes. —
to Asst. Sec? 28.1.78

18 The order referred to
by the Secretary, No. 6279/135
of 29 September 1877, is
herewith submitted.

19 Under the circum-
stances represented by
the Dy Commissioner in
regard to the present case,
I think the resumption
of the inam in question
by the Inam Department
may be cancelled. As
regards noting the
resumption in the
Asst Rent Registers,

the course prescribed in
Chief Court's Pro No 6279/135
of 29 September last
may be followed.

B Thomson CJ
1/2/78

I concur. —

2.2.78

Jo Ch. Court.

Resume
The Order ~~to obtain~~
the Imam may under
the circumstances
now represented be
cancelled —

The course prescribed
in Chief Court's Pro No 6279/135
of 29 Sept^r last ~~regarding~~
letting such resumption
in the Lunatic Asylum
Registers to be followed

2.2.78

2.2.78

Encls. to No: Pro 3504 of 30-12-77
3553

PR (30)
2/2

Entered 9/8/17

11 — 58 2

No. 2218-

Name of Petitioner. Horrali Rajavendrathara Hegde Deputy of No 3 &

Village. Haralisparna

Taluk. Honnalidroog

District. Shimoga

Date of Arzi. 3 August 17

Date of Receipt. 7. 8

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. One only

ABSTRACT.

States that as decided by the Hegde Supt. the Ryt. holds a portion of the lands in the said village granted to the temples of Nurshimadevar & Shirimaladevar at the (thavani) for Rs 62-11-1 incl- -ing a Sari Inam of Rs 16-1 (of which Rs 7-1-10 to Nareni Devar & Rs 9 to the Shirima- devar) on payment of Rs 46 to Govt- & the worship of the deities have been properly c- -ducted by him- Rs 8 76 ch the late Amildar has com for Samabandy, he having inspected the temple of Shiri- -devar which was dismantled fo

& the purpose of being rebuilt asked
the inhabitants of that place why
the temple was lying in ruins?
They informed him favorably that
the building had been purposely
dismantled & to be built anew, which
could be finished within 20 days &
that the Pdi has been collecting
men & materials for the purpose.
Some time after the building was
completed, it appears the Amildar
unjustly recommended to the Inam
Supt. for the attachment of that temple
The Pdi having come to know this,
addressed the latter, whose endorsement he has
enclosed in orgl for perusal & consideration
He states that it is for the Chief Comr. to
consider with what diffinulty the Pdi might
have been conducting the worship regularly &
have undertaken to erect the temple with
the small allowance of Rs 9 allowed to the temple
The above facts & the injustice that has been done
to the Pdi, by the late Amildar, may be ascertained from
the present Amildar -

Prays that on a mature consideration of the case
the attachment of the Inam lands of Shiremmaladevi
temple may be released & justice rendered to him -

(One Stamp of one R

To Amildar - Translation of Inam Comr's no 175 dd 25th Augt 77.

The Pdi is informed that the Amildar has recommended

in his no 361 dated 25 July
that the walls of the temple
in question were in a dilapi-
dated state, and therefore
the "Godhara" Inam lands
allowed to the temple have
been resumed —

Signed R. A. Cole —
Supt. of Inam Setts

S.E.

No. 1602
7257

Mysore Chief Commissioner's Office.

Official Memorandum.

The accompanying Petition No. 2213, dated 3rd August 1877 received at the Chief Commissioner's Office from Bookali Rajavendra chari Inamdar Vidyadar of No 3 of Hubalyshima in the Havalidurga Taluk ——— is forwarded, together with its one enclosures to the Superintendent of Inam Settlement for report

———

———

BANGALORE,
15th August 1877.

"By Order,"

Secretary.

Duftar Departt

To

The Supertt of Inams

Dt 15th August 1877

Duftar
General No 1602
Fo 257

Forwards for report Petition
No 2213 from Koorale Ra
=ghavendrachar Inamdar
Durgdar of No 3 of Kurali
Inam in the Kavaledurga
Taluk -

CR 677

C C

To
The Deputy
7-9-77
23-9-77

Ex. No. 3499
5-12-77

1877.

029/38
63

From
The Supt. of Inam Settlt

To
Secretary to Chief Commissioner,
General Department.

Dated 5th September
Despatched 7th "
Received 7 "
Rep

ENCLOSURES NO 4.

General No. 58 } 1877-78.
No.

Your Memo No. 1602/257 of
the 15th Instant.

In returning the enclosures
of the above I have the honor
to enclose a copy of the
Kowlidroog Talk Amil's
Dittah No. 341 of the 29th
July 1876 together with
an extract from the
Register of the Hurali
village, Kowlidroog Talk,
case No. 2, from which
it will be seen that
the Inam of Hurali
Tirooma Adevar
was resumed on the
grounds that the
temple

temple was in disrepair and that the walls &c had ——— come down

D. W.

Salem Massachusetts

W.W.

Extract from the Register of Inams in the Villlage of Aurali

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM.

Number of case or entire holding under one Grant in this village.	General class to which the Inam belongs.	Survey number and name of field or fields comprised in the grant. Dry, Wet or Garden.	Extent. Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, guntas and annas, it is to be entered in this column.	Extent. Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals.
1	2	3	4	5
2.	Devadaya	wurg No. 1, wurgdar & Khoon same as in Case No. 1 of this village	As per Pahany accn	
		wet —	8 — 10 — "	①
		No 21 —	2 — " — "	In Title Deed
		" 22	4 — " — "	
		" 76	2 — 10 — "	
			① 8 — 10 — "	
		Inamkar —	Nil	

in the Sammat of Aurali *in the Taluk of* Kovilcroad &c

Old assessment.	Survey Assessment.	DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND Description of Inam. If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, &c., whether they are efficiently kept up. Hereditary or for life.	Whether free of tax, &c., or liable to quit-rent, *i. e.* jodi, &c. Amount of latter to be entered.
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the ryots to the inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7.			
6	7	8	9

Sunt of 1863.

Rp. 4-8-0
or
Rs: 18-2-4.

For the worship of
Sirumala =
Devar --
in the village
The Pagoda
is said to be
in order and
Service
Continued

Jodi
Rp. 2-4-0
or
Rs: 9-1-2

in the

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE INAM.

By whom granted and in what year.	Written instruments in support of the claim, original Sannad all miscellaneous documents and other proofs of long possession. In this column it should be stated whether the inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, &c., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given.
10	11

Not known

None

District of Shimoga

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

Name of original grantee.	Name entered in the Register prepared by Purnaiya up to 1810.	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810.
12	13	14
Not known	1806. Tirumaladevar wet Kand: 8-10 — u ~~P 4~~ P 7 — u add Kirada P — 1 — u Total P 4-8 — u of which Sirkar Sagadi P 2-4 — u Sadr P — 2 — 4 — u	1832 Same Devar other particulars same as in 1806 Worshipper Aswattha Char

of the Vaigur

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS.

Particulars regarding present owner. If the Inam has been sub-divided, the name, &c., of each sharer should be entered in the columns.

Residence, name, and age, &c.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders.	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent.	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year.
15	16	17	18
Hooroli Firmmaladevar Manager Loobbanacharry Worshipper Cultivator —			

[illegible]

COURT FEE
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
ONE RUPEE

7-8-77
one rupee
stamp affixed
[illegible]

No. 2213
Dated 9/2/78

Translation of copy of the Kavuledroog Amildar's
Skilla No. 341 dated 29th July 1876.

Acknowledging the receipt
of your hookoom No. 761/2068
I have herewith submitted
an extract list of 12 temples
and also the records connect-
:ed therewith with a parish.
of the 7 Nagad Tasdik putta,
four are submitted here-
:with; and for the remain-
:ing three viz those bearing
Nos. 37189, 37241 & 37247
I have issued takid to
get them from the parties
to whom they were granted

Sir

There is nothing in the
Amil's Skilla to show that
the words of the [illegible]
[illegible], as recorded
by the [illegible] of Sirsi [illegible]
[illegible].

1877 / 8

From

The Secretary to the Chief Commissioner of Mysore, Duftur Department.

To The Superintendent of Inam Settlements

Dated
Despatched } 14th September 1877
Received

Enclosures No.

Duftur General No. 2197
Ft. No. 300

Your docket 2nd 58 of 5th inst. enclosing a Copy of Kowlidroog Taluk Amil's Istillah No. 341 of the 29th July 1876 together with an Extract from the Register of the Aurali Village of the Taluk.—

Requests that the list of the enclosures referred to in the Amil's Istillah may be forwarded for reference at an early date.—

By order

Secretary.—

1877.

From The Supt Hy Dr Mandelli

To

Secretary to Chief Commissioner,
General Department.

Dated 27th September

Despatched 28th do

Received 28th "

ENCLOSURES NO. Ver corrs.

General No. 162 } 1877-78.

No. 141

Pol. No. 2197 d/14th Instant.
300

Has the honor to forward the papers therein called for as noted in the Margin.

Supt Hy Dr Mandelli

1. Correspondence ending with Kandahary Asst's arzi No 341 of 29th July 1876 with a list and file.

1877. 03883/76

From

The Supt. Inam Settlement

To

Secretary to Chief Commissioner,
General Department.

Dated 12

Despatched 13 November

Received

ENCLOSURES NO 1 folio

General No. 71 } 1877—78.
No.

Pocket No. 163/153

of 22nd instant.—

I have the honor to submit the original correspondence as therein called for.—

Supt. of Inam Settlement

To Secretary

13-11-77

13/11/77

41

LR. 6-77

1877. O. 363

From The Chief Engr in Mys

To

Secretary to Chief Commissioner,
General Department.

Dated 25
Despatched 26 } October
Received 29
Rep 29

ENCLOSURES None

General No. 66 } 1877—78.
No.

In reply to his No. 66
of 11th instant, states
the reports therein
alluded to were not ca
for, as the Amil repo
that the temples had
fallen into a state
disrepair subsequ
to the Incam settlem

for Secretary

26-10-77

Copy sent
Commissioner

(31)

42

Inam Settlement Office

No. 66

Bangalore 25 October

To, The Secretary to the
Chief Commissioner
of Mysore

Sir, In reply to your docket
6623/141 of the 11th Instant, I have
honor to state that the reports
alluded to were not called for, a
Amil reported that the temples
fallen into a state of dis-repai
subsequent to the Inam settlem
2. I would also suggest that
Deputy Commissioners and Amil
should be enjoined to report an
on the condition of such institution
the settled Talukes

I have the honor to
Sir,
Your Most Obed.t S

Supt.t of Inams